A-11 (rev. 7/00)

Page 1 of 2



USCA	NOWN)		

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT CIVIL APPEALS DOCKETING STATEMENT

PLEASE ATTACH ADDITIONAL PAGES IF NECESSARY JUDGE: Honorable Alan Kay TITLE IN FULL: DISTRICT: Hawaii DISTRICT COURT NUMBER: CV11-00528 Christopher Baker, Plaintiff, IS THIS A CROSS APPEAL? DATE NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED: Louis Kealoha, in his official and individual T YES May 27, 2012 capacity; Honolulu Police Department; IF THIS MATTER HAS BEEN BEFORE THIS COURT PREVIOUSLY. City and County of Honolulu; Neil PLEASE PROVIDE THE DOCKET NUMBER AND CITATION (IF ANY): Abercrombie, in his official and individual capacity; and State of Hawaii, Defendants N/A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF NATURE OF ACTION AND RESULT BELOW: This is a challenge to a number of unconstitutional Hawaii statutes that prevent Plaintiff from exercising his rights guaranteed by the Second and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution. Mr. Baker requested a preliminary injunction to enjoin enforcement of these statutes. Mr. Baker's request was denied in an Order entered on May 1, 2012. PRINCIPAL ISSUES PROPOSED TO BE RAISED ON APPEAL: Hawaii prohibits the bearing and/or transporting of firearms outside the home, even in non-sensitive places. Plaintiff's permit application was denied by the Chief of Police, in his sole discretion and without affording Due Process, According to Defendants, Plaintiff failed to show "sufficient justification" for exercising his Second Amendment rights. Plaintiff is also prohibited from possessing less-than-lethal weapons despite the common and widespread use of those weapons. PLEASE IDENTIFY ANY OTHER LEGAL PROCEEDING THAT MAY HAVE A BEARING ON THIS CASE (INCLUDE PENDING DISTRICT COURT POST-JUDGMENT MOTIONS): Nordyke, et. al. v. King, et. al., No. 07-15763 Richards et. al. v. Prieto, et. al. No. 11-16255 Peruta, et. al. v. County of San Diego, et. al., No. 10-56971 DOES THIS APPEAL INVOLVE ANY OF THE FOLLOWING: Possibility of Settlement □ Likelihood that intervening precedent will control outcome of appeal ∑ Likelihood of a motion to expedite or to stay the appeal, or other procedural matters (Specify) Any other information relevant to the inclusion of this case in the Mediation Program Possibility parties would stipulate to binding award by Appellate Commissioner in lieu of submission to judges

-	LOW	ER COURT INFORMATION		
JURISDICTION		DISTRICT COURT DISPOSITION		
FEDERA	AL APPELLATE	TYPE OF JUDGMENT/ORDER APPEALED	RELIEF	
FEDERA QUESTIC DIVERS OTHER (SPECIF	ON DISTRICT COURT INTERLOCUTORY DECISION	☐ DEFAULT JUDGMENT ☐ DISMISSAL/JURISDICTION ☐ DISMISSAL/MERITS ☐ SUMMARY JUDGMENT ☐ JUDGMENT/COURT DECISION ☐ JUDGMENT/JURY VERDICT ☐ DECLARATORY JUDGMENT ☐ JUDGMENT AS A MATTER OF LAW ☐ OTHER (SPECIFY): Preliminary Injunction	SOUGHT \$ AWARDED \$ X INJUNCTIONS: X PRELIMINARY PERMANENT GRANTED DENIED ATTORNEY FEES: SOUGHT \$ AWARDED \$ X PENDING COSTS: \$	
2. A CURRE (SEE 9TH CI 3. A COPY C	OF THIS CIVIL APPEALS DOCKETING THAT FAILURE TO COMPLY OF THIS APPEAL.	ATION STATEMENT WITH TELEPHONE AND STATEMENT WAS SERVED IN COMPLIANT WITH THESE FILING REQUIREMENTS ME May 2	ANCE WITH FRAP 25. AY RESULT IN SANCTIONS, 3, 2012	
	Signat		Date	
		WHO COMPLETED THIS FORM		
NAME I	Richard L. Holcomb			
FIRM I	Holcomb Law, LLLC			
ADDRESS	1136 Union Mall, Suite 808			
CITY	Honolulu	STATE HI	ZIP CODE 96813	
E-MAIL	rholcomblaw@live.com	TELEPHONE (808) 545-	TELEPHONE (808) 545-4040	
FAX	(808) 356-1954			
	*THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD BE F	FILED IN DISTRICT COURT WITH THE NEED FILED DIRECTLY WITH THE U.S. COU		